Dripping of a crystal

R. Ishiguro, F. Graner, E. Rolley, and S. Balibar
Laboratoire de Physique Statistique de l’École Normale Supérieure, associé aux Universités Paris 6 et Paris 7 et au CNRS,
24 rue Lhomond, 75231 Paris Cedex 05, France

J. Eggers
School of Mathematics, University of Bristol, University Walk, Bristol BS8 1TW, United Kingdom
(Received 24 August 2006; revised manuscript received 19 December 2006; published 27 April 2007)

Dripping is usually associated with fluid motion, but here we describe the analogous phenomenon of a $^3$He crystal growing and melting under the influence of surface tension and gravity. The pinch-off of the crystal is described by a purely geometric equation of motion, viscous dissipation or inertia being negligible. In analogy to fluid pinch-off, the minimum neck radius $R_n$ goes to zero like a power law, but with a new scaling exponent of 1/2. However, for a significant part of the neck’s macroscopic evolution the scaling exponent is found to be much closer to 1/3. This observation may be consistent with simulations and theoretical results showing a very slow approach to the asymptotic pinch solution, making the “critical region” very small, both in time and space. After pinch-off, we observe a similar 1/3-scaling for the recoil of a crystal tip, both in simulation and experiment. For very early times our experiments are consistent with an approximate theory predicting an asymptotic regime with exponent 1/2. Future experiments must show whether the transient 1/3 scaling is a universal feature of crystal melting, or perhaps an artifact of our experimental setup.
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I. INTRODUCTION

A liquid jet coming out of a nozzle is unstable: due to capillary forces, it splits into droplets: this is the well-known Plateau instability [1]. When the drop detaches at a critical time $t_c$, its neck radius $R_n$ tends to zero and the equations of hydrodynamics form a singularity. The profiles near the singularity are self-similar, and $R_n$ goes to zero linearly in time [2]. In the case that viscosity is negligible and the dynamics is dominated by inertial effects, a different critical behavior was found, and the exponent becomes $2/3$ [3,4].

Here we present a third situation where both viscous dissipation in the bulk and inertial effects are negligible. This is the unusual case of crystals whose shape evolves by local growth and melting in a situation where the temperature is very homogeneous so that the driving forces are gravity and surface tension, as for usual liquids. Dripping becomes a purely geometrical effect for which simple arguments lead to the prediction $R_n \sim \Delta t^{1/2}$, where $\Delta t=|t-t_c|$. We were surprised to find a $\Delta t^{1/3}$ behavior in the experiment but, as we shall see, our results are compatible with a 1/2 exponent for very small radii, and numerical simulations confirm that this asymptotic behavior is reached at very small $R_n$ only.

Helium crystals are known to change shape rather quickly by local crystallization and melting [5]. These crystals are very pure and can be studied in equilibrium or close to equilibrium with liquid helium in cells where the temperature is very homogeneous. As a result, their shape is governed by gravity and surface tension, not by temperature nor by concentration gradients as in the usual crystals. Except when facets come into play, the evolution of their shape looks like that of flowing liquids; there is no mass transport inside the crystals themselves, only some in the surrounding liquid which allows local crystallization and melting. The dynamics of $^3$He crystals is very fast at low temperature [5]. The dynamics of $^3$He crystals is not as fast as that of $^4$He but still much faster than classical crystals: their shape relaxes typically in a few seconds at 0.32 K, the temperature of the minimum in the melting curve where the latent heat is zero. At that temperature, $^3$He crystals are not facetted and they look like transparent drops of some viscous fluid like honey although they are high quality crystals. We have recorded the time evolution of their shape with an ordinary CCD camera.

In a previous paper [6], we have taken advantage of the properties of these $^3$He crystals to investigate the coalescence of crystalline drops. By analyzing video sequences which had been recorded earlier by some of us [7] we could verify a prediction by Maris [8] for the time evolution of the neck which forms when two crystalline drops come into contact. We found good agreement with theory, and it confirmed that, with such crystals, coalescence is a purely geometrical problem: as we shall see, the local velocity $dR_n/dt$, which is the time derivative of the neck radius is simply related to the local curvature $1/R_n$.

II. THEORY

The driving force for growth is the difference $\Delta \mu$ between the chemical potential $\mu_a$ (per unit mass) of the surrounding liquid and that of the crystal, $\mu_C$. It is linearly related to the growth velocity of the crystal $v_n$ by the relation (see Ref. [9], p. 74)

$$v_n = k\Delta \mu.$$  

The mobility $k$ has been calculated and measured experimentally [5]. Near $T_{\text{min}}=0.32$ K, it is given by...
\[ k^{-1} = 5.5 + (3.9 \times 10^4)(T - T_{\text{min}})^2 \text{ m/s} \]  
(2)

with \( T \) in K [7].

Assuming that the crystal grows at constant strain, \( \Delta \mu \) can be calculated from a mechanical equilibrium between the liquid and solid (see Ref. [9], p. 18). Neglecting the anisotropy of the surface tension \( \gamma \), which is small for bcc crystals such as \(^3\)He, this gives \( \Delta \mu_c = \gamma h \frac{\partial h}{\partial \rho_L} \), where \( \gamma \) is the local curvature and \( \rho_L \) is the pressure in the liquid relative to the melting pressure. The physical constants are \( \gamma = 0.06 \text{ erg/cm}^2 \) [10] (surface tension) and \( \Delta \rho_c = \rho_c - \rho_L = 5.7 \times 10^{-2} \text{ g/cm}^3 \) (density difference). The liquid pressure \( \rho_L \) includes a hydrostatic contribution; we will approximate \( \rho_L \) by a constant, since the capillary length \( \lambda = 0.06 \text{ erg/cm}^2 \)

if the second term on the right-hand side comes from the mean pressure from the value corresponding to equilibrium. The

Fig. 2. If the second,h

\[ h = \frac{R}{R_0}, \quad \tau = \frac{R_0 \rho_c}{\Delta} \tau \]

leads to

\[ dh = - \frac{1}{h} + \frac{h''}{1 + h'^2} + \Delta \frac{1}{1 + h'^2}, \]

where \( \Delta \) is the nondimensionalized departure of the liquid pressure from the value corresponding to equilibrium. The first two terms on the right-hand side come from the mean curvature of the crystal surface.

To initiate pinch-off, we will set \( \Delta \) to a small negative value in the simulations to be reported below. The radial curvature (first term on the left-hand side), drives pinch-off as expected: a melting of the crystal (reduction in \( h \) and thus in surface area) leads to a lower energy state. For small \( h \), Eq. (4) is dominated by the mean curvature terms, and thus reduces to the famous (axisymmetric) mean curvature flow, which has been studied extensively in the Mathematics literature [11,12]. In particular, this equation exhibits blow-up at a finite time \( \tau = 0 \), corresponding to the pinch-off seen in Fig. 2. If the second (axial) curvature term is negligible near the minimum \( h_{\text{min}} = R_n/R_0 \) of the profile, one ends up with the simple equation \( h_{\text{min}} = -1 \). This can be integrated to give

\[ h_{\text{min}}^2 = 2 \tau, \]

which is indeed the correct asymptotics for the pinching of the neck, as we are going to see below. However, contributions from the axial curvature are only logarithmically subdominant, so the convergence toward (5) is exceedingly slow.

Before comparing the above prediction with experiment, we need to describe more precisely how this experiment was done. As already explained in Refs. [6] and [7], \(^3\)He crystals were grown at 0.32 K in a cell which had two parts connected by a vertical capillary (see Fig. 1) and it was immersed in a \(^4\)He liquid bath which provided a good thermal homogeneity. When increasing the pressure of liquid \(^4\)He, the double membrane in the upper part of the \(^3\)He cell was deformed and the \(^3\)He pressure increased. When the \(^3\)He crystallization pressure was reached, the first crystal seed happened to nucleate in the upper part. It was grown by increasing the \(^4\)He pressure further. When the \(^3\)He crystal was large enough, it started invading the lower part, and became visible at the lower end of the capillary [see Fig. 2(a)]. At that moment, the pressure was fixed and the shape evolved at constant total crystal volume. By exchanging mass with its liquid phase, i.e., by local growth and melting, the \(^3\)He crystal started to move down because of gravity.

At \( T_{\text{min}} = 0.32 \text{ K} \), the latent heat vanishes and the liquid-solid transition is sensitive to \( T \) only to second order in \( (T - T_{\text{min}}) \). At the surface of \(^3\)He crystals, facets appear only at \( T_{\text{min}} + 9 \text{ mK} \) where the growth resistance is \( k^{-1} = 0.115 \text{ s/m} \). (5) predicts the asymptotic behavior \( R_n^3 = (1.145 \times 10^{-4}) \Delta \tau \text{ mm}^2 \) with \( \Delta \tau \text{ in ms} \), a prediction that contains no adjustable parameters.

As shown in Fig. 2, the transfer of the \(^3\)He crystal from the upper part of the cell to the lower visible part occurs by successive dripping (b,c and g,h) and coalescence events (e, f, and j). Here, we analyze the dripping. Figure 3 shows that the shape of the neck is symmetric with respect to a horizontal plane, contrary to what is observed for ordinary fluids [1], breaking up in air, as long as inertia is important. We have analyzed the time variation of the neck radius \( R_n \) and attempted to find the scaling exponent with which the minimum neck radius vanishes by plotting appropriate powers of \( R_n \) as function of time. Figure 4 shows that by plotting \( R_n^3 \) one finds the closest approximation of a straight line over the entire time period. This observation seems to contradict (5), which predicts an exponent of 1/2 as opposed to 1/3 supported by experiment.
However, in Fig. 4 we have also included data from two simulations of $/\text{H}_2/\text{O}$, starting from different initial conditions to be detailed below. To an accuracy within the experimental scatter, the simulations are also consistent with a $1/3$ scaling law, albeit with a prefactor which is not universal. For the simulations we know, and will confirm below, that the true asymptotic behavior is given by $/\text{H}_2/\text{O}$. Thus a transient behavior close to the experimentally observed $1/3$ law is also found for $/\text{H}_2/\text{O}$, and there is no reason to invoke other physical effects, not included in $/\text{H}_2/\text{O}$. We now show that the approach to the asymptotic behavior $/\text{H}_2/\text{O}$ is very slow, so transient scaling can be observed for a significant period of time.

To understand the nature of the convergence toward the asymptotic solution, it is crucial to look at a more complete description, which includes the spatial structure of the solution. We begin by rewriting the solution in a different coordinate system:

$$h(x, z) = \frac{1}{2} \ln \left( \frac{x}{x_0} \right),$$

where $l_z = |\ln(r)|$ and $x_0$ is the point where pinch-off occurs. This means that profiles, taken at different times, are related to each other simply by a rescaling of the coordinate axes. In

FIG. 2. Twelve images (of width 3.5 mm) showing how a $^3\text{He}$ crystal “flows” down from the upper part of the cell into its lower part. For this recording, which took a few minutes, the temperature was $T_{\text{min}} + 11 \text{ mK}$. The crystal first “drips” down, so that a crystalline “drop” forms at the bottom (a) to (c); then a second drop (d) and comes into contact with the first one (e); coalescence is observed (f) and was quantitatively analyzed in [6]. It is followed by the dripping of a second drop which has exactly the same crystalline orientation as the first one because this is not real flow, it is local melting and growth of a single crystal which keeps the same orientation all the time. It drips down and coalescence occurs again (j). Usually, the last drop (k) behaves differently because, being smaller than the orifice, it falls in the liquid and changes orientation before touching the lower crystal. As a consequence, there is a grain boundary between the two crystals which do not coalesce; the last drop keeps round, moves to the right and finally vanishes (l). At this temperature, $^3\text{He}$ crystals have no facets, they are rough in all directions.

However, in Fig. 4 we have also included data from two simulations of (4), starting from different initial conditions to be detailed below. To an accuracy within the experimental scatter, the simulations are also consistent with a $1/3$ scaling law, albeit with a prefactor which is not universal. For the simulations we know, and will confirm below, that the true asymptotic behavior is given by (5). Thus a transient behavior close to the experimentally observed $1/3$ law is also found for (4), and there is no reason to invoke other physical effects, not included in (4). We now show that the approach to the asymptotic behavior (5) is very slow, so transient scaling can be observed for a significant period of time.

To understand the nature of the convergence toward the asymptotic solution, it is crucial to look at a more complete description, which includes the spatial structure of the solution. We begin by rewriting the solution in a different coordinate system:

$$h(x, r) = r^{1/2} (\xi, l_z), \quad \xi = (x - x_0)/r^{1/2},$$

where $l_z = |\ln(r)|$ and $x_0$ is the point where pinch-off occurs. For fluids, the solution converges very quickly to a similarity function which is in fact independent of $l_z$ [2,16]. This means that profiles, taken at different times, are related to each other simply by a rescaling of the coordinate axes. In

FIG. 3. Just before separation of the two crystalline drops, the neck shape is symmetric, contrary to what is usually observed with liquids.

FIG. 4. (Color online) To within experimental scatter, the cube of the neck radius $R_n$ is linearly related to the time $\Delta t$ before the singularity, where the two crystals separate from each other. Different symbols correspond to different recordings at $T_{\text{min}} + 9 \text{ mK}$. The dotted and dashed lines are simulations of (4), described in more detail in Sec. III below.
many cases involving the breakup of liquid threads or jets, measurements of the minimum radius or of the entire profile show excellent agreement with similarity theory [1,17–19].

However, the similarity structure of the pinch-off solution of (4) is different in two important respects [12], the similarity function being

$$
\phi(\xi, l) = \sqrt{2 \left[ 1 + \frac{\xi^2 - 2}{4l} + O\left( \frac{\ln(l)}{l^2} \right) \right]}. \tag{7}
$$

Note that (7) is symmetric in space, in agreement with observation (cf. Fig. 3). First, $\phi$ contains a contribution which depends explicitly on the logarithmic time $l$; this contribution comes from the axial curvature of the interface. In the asymptotic limit $l \rightarrow \infty$ (5) is recovered for $\xi=0$, but only on a logarithmic scale, as opposed to the rapid convergence of classical similarity solutions. Second, the convergence to the similarity form is not uniform [16], but only in a small region around the neck which is of the same size as the minimum neck radius itself, such that the similarity variable $\xi$ remains of order one. This can be seen from the next order in an expansion in $1/l$, which was performed recently [20]. Namely, for the higher order terms to be small, $|\xi|$ must be small compared to $\sqrt{l}$. For all practical purposes, the latter is never much larger than unity. Note also the appearance of logarithms of $\ln(\tau)$ appearing at second order in (7), implying a very slow convergence indeed.

Singularities in which the logarithm $l$ appears explicitly are called “type II singularities” [21]. Mathematically, they come about as follows: if the equations of motion are rewritten in the coordinate system described by (6), the singularity appears as a fixed point. For the singularity to be observed, the dynamics must be driven toward the fixed point, which means that all eigenvalues of the linearization must be negative: this is known as “type I singularity.” Mean curvature flow belongs to the “critical” case in which one of the eigenvalues is zero. A careful analysis reveals that the singularity is reached, but only on a logarithmic scale, cf. (7). However, this effect has never been estimated quantitatively. Our recent results show that the second order contribution to (7) is still universal [20], as confirmed by the numerical simulations reported below. We suspect this remains true at higher orders, but this still needs to be investigated.

### III. NUMERICS AND COMPARISON TO EXPERIMENT

We now return to the numerical solution of (4), which lead to the dashed and solid lines in Fig. 4. We performed numerical simulations of the axisymmetric mean curvature flow equation (4), including the pressure term on the right-hand side. We used an implicit, second order, finite difference method as developed originally for the fluid drop pinch-off problem in [22]. As $h_{\text{min}}$ decreases, smaller features need to be resolved near the neck. We use an automatic mesh refinement as developed in [23] to make sure all parts of the solution are well resolved. This permits us to follow the solution through seven orders of magnitude in the neck radius.

Unfortunately, we were unable to reproduce the experimental boundary conditions provided by the cell, and thus to obtain a direct comparison between experimental and numerical free-surface shapes. We tried two different numerical approaches: First, we let a crystal “drip” from a circular hole of fixed radius (corresponding to the vertical capillary in the experiment), adding a hydrostatic pressure contribution to the right-hand side of (4). Depending on the value of the liquid pressure $\Delta$, an initially spherical crystal either shrank and disappeared, or grew to a very large size, without any tendency to pinch off. One must keep in mind, however, that experimentally the radius of the crystal at the upper wall of the container is not fixed, as seen in Fig. 2. The presence of the container wall, not included in the simulation, could also be responsible for the outcome of the simulation to be different from experiment.

Second, we used an experimental profile as an initial condition, i.e., (g) in Fig. 2. Once more, the problem is that the boundary conditions at the top and bottom of the cell are not known. For simplicity, we chose a “liquid bridge” geometry, where the crystal radius at the boundaries of the computation are held constant. At the top, this is the radius of the capillary, and at the bottom the point of intersection of the crystal with the cell walls. For $\Delta=0$, Eq. (4) converged to a equilibrium shape without pinching off. For sufficiently negative $\Delta$ pinch-off occurs, but only after a significant deformation of the lower part of the crystal, in disagreement with experiment. Crucially, what is not included in the simulation is the fact that the crystal is mechanically supported by the container walls on which it rests, making it much more immobile.

These observations show that the evolution of the crystal is strongly dependent on the boundary conditions away from the point where the neck radius is smallest. As we will see in more detail below, only for very small neck radii does pinch-off occur in a universal fashion. To nevertheless explore the dynamics away from pinch-off numerically, we chose the liquid bridge geometry, see Fig. 5.

Qualitatively, the left end corresponds to the nozzle opening, which has been normalized to one, the right end corre-
Considerable differences between the two initial conditions persist to times $|\tau| \approx 10^{-4}$ away from the singularity, followed by very slow convergence toward (9). Eventually the deviations corresponding to the two numerical solutions become very close, suggesting that even higher order corrections to (9) are universal.

Of course, these arguments only explain why a behavior different from the asymptotic scaling is observed for a significant part of the evolution. The question why this transient behavior appears to be close to a $1/3$ law, in both experiment and simulation, remains open. This could be fortuitous, as very different initial conditions might give other behaviors. However, we cannot exclude the possibility that a more fundamental scaling argument could be made, which explains a transient $1/3$ scaling.

The prefactor of this scaling law appears to be reasonably universal experimentally, while it depends on the initial conditions of the numerical simulation. However, one must keep in mind that the three experimental data sets represent dripping events which are relatively alike. Unfortunately, as we are merely analyzing data taken previously, we are not able to address this question by changing the experimental conditions significantly.

IV. RECOIL

We have also analyzed the retraction of the two tips of the crystal after it pinched off, and the results are shown in Fig. 8. Plotting the third power of the half-gap $D$ between the two tips, we find it being even more convincingly described by a $1/3$ power law. However, in one of the data sets the prefactor is significantly different from that of the others.

Once more, we have no explanation for the observed macroscopic scaling, but conclude that it is consistent with mean curvature flow (4). Namely, the dotted and dashed lines are simulations of (4), which continue the simulations of Fig. 4 to times after pinch-off. Both lines are equally consistent with $1/3$ scaling as the experiments are, but their prefactors are different. Numerical continuations were constructed by cutting the neck at the minimum and splicing the tips on either side to a spherical cap, once $h_{\text{min}}$ has reached $10^{-3}$. The results for the retracting tips, continuing the simulation shown in Fig. 5, is seen in Fig. 9. Extracting the half-gap for
the two initial conditions, we obtain the curves shown in Fig. 8 and in Fig. 10 below.

Again, the situation is very different from fluid drop breakup, where a universal solution for tip retraction was found\cite{24}. The reason is the different character of the similarity description before breakup. Namely, in the fluid case the profile converges onto a universal shape for all values of the similarity variable $\xi$. This means that the behavior for large $\xi$ can serve as a boundary condition for the solution after breakup, leading to a universal post-breakup solution. However, the validity of (7) is restricted to a small region $|\xi| \ll 1$, whereas everything outside of this region is nonuniversal. Hence the receding tip is in fact invading a region where the solution depends on the initial condition, and so also depends on initial conditions.

Nevertheless, one can estimate the retraction by approximating the profile at the moment of breakup by a cone of half-angle $\theta$, which is reasonable as seen in Fig. 11. Let us begin by giving a rough argument for the speed of retraction, which captures the essential physics. Namely, we model the shape of each drop just after detachment as a cone with half-angle $\theta$ which terminates as a spherical tip with radius $R$. The curvature is $\kappa=2/R$ so that $dR/d\tau=2$ in nondimensional variables. From simple geometry we find the dimensionless half-gap $d=D/R_0$ between the two tips to be $d=R(1-\sin \theta)/\sin \theta$, so that the time dependence is

$$d^2 = 4 \frac{(1-\sin \theta)^2}{\sin^2 \theta} \tau. \quad (10)$$

FIG. 8. (Color online) As for dripping but now for their separation, the cube of the half-gap $D$ between the two crystals is proportional to the time $\Delta t$. Different symbols correspond to successive events recorded at $T_{\text{min}}+9$ mK. The dotted and dashed lines represent the continuation of the corresponding simulations of Figs. 4 and 6.

FIG. 9. A numerical simulation of (4) after pinch-off, corresponding to the profiles shown in Fig. 5.

FIG. 10. (Color online) The square of the rescaled half-gap, compared to simulation and theory. Symbols are the same data as Fig. 4, the dashed and dotted lines are simulations of (4) with two different initial conditions, corresponding to $a=0.7$ and 0.4, respectively. The full line corresponds to the retraction of a cone of opening angle 34.8 degrees.

FIG. 11. After separation of the two crystalline drops, a gap opens. We approximate the shape of the two drops as cones with a spherical tip; here the half-angle of the cone is 34.8 degrees.
For one set of data (squares) we have fitted a cone to the profile (cf. Fig. 11), and found an opening angle of about $\theta \approx 34.8$ degrees. From Fig. 12, this corresponds to $\xi_0 = 5.87$, which is the slope of the full line in Fig. 10. The predicted asymptotic behavior, based on the simplifying assumption of initial cones, fits the data well, but again only at very small time after separation of the two drops.

In conclusion, we have studied the dripping of crystals where viscosity and inertia are negligible, and the dissipation is taking place only at the moving crystal surface. From the thermodynamics of melting crystals one finds that the crystal shape is described by mean-curvature flow. Simulations of the mean curvature flow equations show results consistent with experiment, both on the macroscopic scale and very close to pinch-off. Owing to the sensitivity of the dynamics to initial and boundary conditions, we were however not able to reproduce the experimental conditions exactly. Although this possibility cannot be excluded, we see no reason why any effect beyond the physics of crystal melting used by us should be needed to explain the experiment. A fully quantitative description of the experimental setup, which would settle this issue definitively, is beyond the scope of this paper.

Very close to pinch-off, mean-curvature flow exhibits an unusual type of self-similar behavior including logarithmic terms. The important physical consequence is that the approach to the singularity is extremely slow, so experimental observation, without the benefit of theory, may lead to an incorrect identification of scaling exponents. Indeed, for dimensionless times $\tau \approx 0.02$ both experiment and simulation suggest a scaling exponent of $1/3$, significantly different from the asymptotic value of $1/2$. It is possible that this behavior is fortuitous, and merely a reflection of the restricted class of initial conditions we are considering. On the other hand, the relative robustness of this observation suggests that there might be an intermediate asymptotics at work here, which we have not been able to identify.

Another instance where the approach to a final asymptotic regime is slow, and where a dependence on the initial condition persists to very close to pinch-off, has been observed for the problem of the pinch-off of a bubble in water [25,26]. A more recent analysis of the problem has shown [27] that the nature of bubble pinch-off is quite different from the mean curvature flow problem at hand, although logarithms are involved in both cases. Nevertheless, both examples tell a cautionary tale, as statements about the universality of asymptotic behavior are virtually impossible to make by observation alone, in the absence of quantitative theoretical estimates of transients.
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